



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Ant

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,783	02/17/2000	Tadao Inoue	122.1393	6995

21171 7590 01/12/2004

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

SEDIGHIAN, REZA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2633

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/505,783

Applicant(s)

INOUE ET AL.

Examiner

M. R. Sedighian

Art Unit

2633

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 20 and 22-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 20 and 22-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2633

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 20, and 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takauji et al. (US Patent No: 6,292,284).

Regarding claims 1, 22, 26, 30-32, and 34-35, Takauji discloses a light output control circuit (col. 15, lines 1-38 and fig. 5), comprising: a photodetector (PD, 51, fig. 5) which detects the light output of a light emitting device (LD, 10, fig. 5) to thereby provide a light output detection value (col. 15, lines 53-60); a comparator (61, fig. 5) which compares the light output detection value (V_{mon} , fig. 5) with a reference value (V_{ref} , fig. 5) to thereby provide a comparison result (col. 16, lines 3-6); a light output control device (60, fig. 5) which performs discrete control actions to control the light output of the light emitting device in accordance with the comparison result (col. 15, lines 61-67); and a switching circuit (92, 62, fig. 5) which counts the number of control actions performed by the light output control device (col. 16, lines 3-31), and which instructs the light output control device (60, 63, 30, fig. 5) to perform control in accordance with a power-up mode (col. 18, lines 44-50, note that the light output power of laser diode 10 is raised until the monitoring voltage V_{mon} coincides with V_{ref}) until the number of control actions after starting control reaches a predetermined value (col. 18, lines 61-67, col. 19,

Art Unit: 2633

lines 1-4, note that when V_{mon} coincides with V_{ref} , or when stability occurs the counting operation terminates). Takauji differs from the claimed invention in that Takauji does not specifically disclose performing the control in accordance with a steady-state mode after the number of control actions has reached the predetermined value. Takauji teaches the counter 62 performs counting until the monitoring voltage V_{mon} and the reference voltage V_{ref} nearly coincide with each other and the stability results (col. 18, lines 52-67), the counting operation terminates (col. 19, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the light output power control circuitries such as the one of Takauji can perform control in accordance with a steady-state mode when the number of control actions reaches a predetermined value to provide an automatic light power control and to provide a stabilized light output. As to claims 22 and 26, Takauji teaches the counter increases or decreases a count value (col. 16, lines 19-25). As to claim 34 and 35, regarding the count operation to increase or decrease the count value by a first and a second amount, Takauji teaches a count value can be determined by an up-and-down counter circuit 62, wherein a count value can be counted up, or it can be counted down (col. 16, lines 16-25). It would have been obvious that by counting up or counting down, a first and a second amount can be reached and for example, the second amount can be smaller than the first amount such that an stable operation can be reached.

Regarding claims 20, 23, 27, and 33, as to a coarse and fine light output control, Takauji teaches raising the light output power of the laser 10 until the monitoring voltage V_{mon} and the reference voltage V_{ref} nearly coincide (col. 18, lines 51-67, col. 19, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2633

Accordingly, when raising the light output power occurs, a coarse mode of operation, and when stability has been reached, a fine mode of operation results.

Regarding claims 24-25 and 28-29, Takauji teaches the light emitting device (10, fig. 5) is a laser diode (col. 15, line 5).

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 22, 26, 30, 31, 32, 34, and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

m.r. Sedighian
M.R. SEDI GHIAN
Patent Examiner
Art Unit: 2633